

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/044,638	MAGEE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alexander Jamal	2614	

All Participants:
Status of Application: under appeal

 (1) Alexander Jamal.

(3) _____.

 (2) Gary Pitzer (39,334).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 December 2007
Time: 12pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

After considering applicant's arguments in the appeal brief, the examiner contacted Mr. Pitzer and requested to move claim limitation involving the indexing function into each dependant claim. Mr. Pitzer agreed.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)